Approximate Quantum Error Correction Revisited: Introducing the Alpha-bit

ArXiv:1706.09434

Patrick Hayden and Geoffrey Penington, Stanford University

□ Qubits are composite resources

□ Qubits are composite resources

Another resource (that you have never heard of) is more fundamental than a qubit

Qubits are composite resources

- Another resource (that you have never heard of) is more fundamental than a qubit
- Sending qubits at the quantum capacity does not exhaust the ability of a channel to send information

- Qubits are composite resources
- Another resource (that you have never heard of) is more fundamental than a qubit
- Sending qubits at the quantum capacity does not exhaust the ability of a channel to send information
- □ There is no need to use classical bits to do entanglement-distillation, statemerging, remote state preparation, channel simulation or teleportation

- Qubits are composite resources
- Another resource (that you have never heard of) is more fundamental than a qubit
- Sending qubits at the quantum capacity does not exhaust the ability of a channel to send information
- □ There is no need to use classical bits to do entanglement-distillation, statemerging, remote state preparation, channel simulation or teleportation
- Quantum error correction in AdS/CFT is only approximate and bulk operators are state-dependent

- Qubits are composite resources
- Another resource (that you have never heard of) is more fundamental than a qubit
- Sending qubits at the quantum capacity does not exhaust the ability of a channel to send information
- □ There is no need to use classical bits to do entanglement-distillation, statemerging, remote state preparation, channel simulation or teleportation
- Quantum error correction in AdS/CFT is only approximate and bulk operators are state-dependent
- □ It proves P≠NP













1 qubit \geq 1 ebit





1 cbit ≤ 1 qubit ≥ 1 ebit





1 cbit ≤ 1 qubit ≥ 1 ebit

1 ebit + 2 cbits ≥ 1 qubit





1 cbit < 1 qubit > 1 ebit

1 ebit + 2 cbits > 1 qubit





1 cbit < 1 qubit > 1 ebit zero-bits 1 ebit + 2 > 1 qubit











•

1 cbit < 1 qubit > 1 ebit 1 ebit + 2 zero-bits $\stackrel{(a)}{=}$ 1 qubit weakened version of qubits

1 cbit < 1 qubit > 1 ebit 1 ebit + 2 zero-bits $\stackrel{(a)}{=}$ 1 qubit weakened version of qubits asymptotic







1 zero-bit = 1 α -bit with $\alpha = 0$































B encodes the zero-bits of $|\psi\rangle$



What can you do with zero-bits?



What can you do with zero-bits?

Not possible to recover the state $|\psi\rangle$ from B with no information about the state - Error correction is not possible



What can you do with zero-bits?

Not possible to recover the state $|\psi\rangle$ from B with no information about the state - Error correction is not possible

However if we know $|\psi\rangle \in \text{span}(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)$ then we can determine $|\psi\rangle$


What can you do with zero-bits?

Not possible to recover the state $|\psi\rangle$ from B with no information about the state - Error correction is not possible

However if we know $|\psi\rangle \in \text{span}(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)$ then we can determine $|\psi\rangle$

Able to error-correct any two-dimensional subspace ${\cal S}$



What can you do with zero-bits?

Not possible to recover the state $|\psi\rangle$ from B with no information about the state - Error correction is not possible

However if we know $|\psi\rangle \in \text{span}(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)$ then we can determine $|\psi\rangle$

Able to error-correct any two-dimensional subspace S



"n qubits"

```
"n qubits"
\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)
d_A = 2^n
```

```
"n qubits"
\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)d_A = 2^n
```

What do we need to be true about the channel?

"*n* qubits"
$$\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$$

 $d_A = 2^n$

What do we need to be true about the channel?

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathrm{Id}$$

"n qubits" $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$ $d_A = 2^n$ $\exists D$ $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{N} = \mathrm{Id}$

What do we need to be true about the channel?

Bob can always error correct so long as error correction is possible

"'n zero-bits"

$$\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$$

 $d_A = 2^n$

OK now what about zero-bits?

 $\begin{aligned} \forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S = 2 \\ \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S = \mathrm{Id}_S \end{aligned}$

Now Bob only has to be able to error correct any *two-dimensional subspace*

$$\begin{array}{l} "n \underline{\operatorname{qubits}}"\\ \mathcal{N} : \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B) \\ d_A = 2^n \\ \forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S = 2 \\ \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S = \mathrm{Id}_S \end{array}$$



- "*n* zero-bits"
- $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$
- $d_A = 2^n$
- $\forall S \subset A \quad d_S = 2$

 $\exists \mathcal{D}_S$

Need to make definition approximate if $\|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S\|_{\sim} \leq \delta$ zero-bits are to be different from qubits

- "'n zero-bits"
- $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$
- [Hayden, Winter 2012]

 $d_A = 2^n$



 $\forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S = 2$

 $\begin{aligned} \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S \|_{\diamond} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$

- "'n zero-bits"
- $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$
- [Hayden, Winter 2012]

 $d_A = 2^n$



 $\forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S = 2$

 $\begin{aligned} \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S \|_{\diamond} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$

 $\mathcal{N}^c: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(E)$

- "'n zero-bits"
- $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$

 $\forall S \subset A \quad d_S = 2$

[Hayden, Winter 2012]

 $d_A = 2^n$



Why do I never get told anything interesting

 $\mathcal{N}^c: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(E)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \left|\psi\right\rangle \in A, \\ \left\|\mathcal{N}^{c}(\psi-\omega)\right\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon \end{array}$

 $\begin{aligned} \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S \|_{\diamond} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$

- "'n zero-bits"
- $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$
- [Hayden, Winter 2012]

- $d_A = 2^n$
- $\forall S \subset A \quad d_S = 2$
- $\begin{aligned} \exists \mathcal{D}_S \\ \|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S \mathrm{Id}_S \|_{\diamond} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$



 $\mathcal{N}^c: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(E)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \left|\psi\right\rangle \in A, \\ \left\|\mathcal{N}^{c}(\psi-\omega)\right\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon \end{array}$



Definition of alpha-bits

"' $n \alpha$ -bits" $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$ $d_A = 2^n$ $\forall S \subset A \ d_S \leq 2^{\alpha n} + 1$ $\exists \mathcal{D}_S$ $\|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S\|_{\diamond} \leq \delta$

Definition of alpha-bits

"' $n \alpha$ -bits" $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$ $d_{A} = 2^{n}$ $\forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S \leq 2^{\alpha n} + 1$ $\exists \mathcal{D}_S \qquad \alpha = 1 \Rightarrow \text{qubits}$ $\|\mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N}|_S - \mathrm{Id}_S\|_{\diamond} \leq \delta$

Definition of alpha-bits

"Subspace decoupling duality" "' $n \alpha$ -bits" $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(B)$ $\mathcal{N}^c: \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathcal{S}(E)$ \Leftrightarrow $\forall |\psi\rangle \in AR, \quad d_R = 2^{\alpha n} \\ \left\| \mathcal{N}^c \otimes \operatorname{Id}_R \left(\psi - \omega \otimes \psi^R \right) \right\|_1 \le \varepsilon$ $d_A = 2^n$ $\begin{aligned} \forall S \subseteq A \quad d_S \leq 2^{\alpha n} + 1 & \| \mathcal{N} \\ \exists \mathcal{D}_S & \alpha = 1 \Rightarrow \text{qubits} \\ \| \mathcal{D}_S \circ \mathcal{N} |_S - \text{Id}_S \|_{\diamond} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$ $\frac{1}{16}\delta^2 \le \varepsilon \le 8\sqrt{\delta}$





Necessary condition to send alpha-bits. Also sufficient (with some subtleties about needing to use shared randomness and block coding).



Necessary condition to send alpha-bits. Also sufficient (with some subtleties about needing to use shared randomness and block coding).







 $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}n \text{ qubits} \ge n \alpha \text{-bits}$





$(1 + \alpha)$ qubits $\stackrel{(a)}{\geq} 2 \alpha$ -bits + $(1 - \alpha)$ ebits



$(1 + \alpha)$ qubits $\stackrel{(a)}{=} 2 \alpha$ -bits + $(1 - \alpha)$ ebits

 $(1 + \alpha)$ qubits $\stackrel{(a)}{=} 2 \alpha$ -bits $+ (1 - \alpha)$ ebits To show RHS \geq LHS: 1. 1α -bit + 1 ebit $\stackrel{(a)}{\geq} (1 + \alpha)$ cobits

$$(1 + \alpha) \text{ qubits} \stackrel{(a)}{=} 2 \alpha \text{-bits} + (1 - \alpha) \text{ ebits}$$

To show RHS \geq LHS:
1. $1 \alpha \text{-bit} + 1 \text{ ebit} \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} (1 + \alpha) \text{ cobits}$
2. $1 \text{ qubit} + 1 \text{ ebit} = 2 \text{ cobits}$

$$(1 + \alpha) \text{ qubits} \stackrel{(a)}{=} 2 \alpha \text{-bits} + (1 - \alpha) \text{ ebits}$$

To show RHS \geq LHS:
1. $1 \alpha \text{-bit} + 1 \text{ ebit} \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} (1 + \alpha) \text{ cobits}$
2. $1 \text{ qubit} + 1 \text{ ebit} = 2 \text{ cobits}$

$$(1 + \alpha) \text{ qubits} \stackrel{(a)}{=} 2 \alpha \text{-bits} + (1 - \alpha) \text{ ebits}$$

To show RHS \geq LHS:
1. $1 \alpha \text{-bit} + 1 \text{ ebit} \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} (1 + \alpha) \text{ cobits}$
2. $1 \text{ qubit} + 1 \text{ ebit} = 2 \text{ cobits}$

1. Alice and Bob share n ebits



$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |k\rangle_A \, |k\rangle_B$$



- 1. Alice and Bob share n ebits
- 2. Alice applies an operation to her qubits

$$\overbrace{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} e^{\frac{2\pi k r i}{2^{n}}} |k \oplus s\rangle_{A} |k\rangle_{B}$$

$$\overbrace{k=0}}{0 \leq r < 2^{n}}$$

$$0 \leq s < 2^{n}$$

- 1. Alice and Bob share n ebits
- 2. Alice applies an operation to her qubits
- 3. Alice sends her qubits to Bob

 $2^{n}-1$ $\sum e^{\frac{2\pi k r i}{2^n}} |k \oplus s\rangle_A |k\rangle_B$ $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq r < 2^n \\ 0 \leq s < 2^n \end{array}$ k=0


(Coherent) alpha-bit super-dense coding

- 1. Alice and Bob share n ebits
- 2. Alice applies an operation to her qubits

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} e^{\frac{2\pi k r i}{2^{n}}} |k \oplus s\rangle_{A} |k\rangle_{B} \\
& & 0 \leq r < 2^{n} \\
& 0 \leq s < 2^{\alpha n}
\end{array}$$

(Coherent) alpha-bit super-dense coding

- 1. Alice and Bob share n ebits
- 2. Alice applies an operation to her qubits
- 3. Alice sends her qubits to Bob as α -bits

 $2^{n}-1$ $\sum e^{rac{2\pi\,k\,r\,i}{2^n}} |k\oplus s
angle_A |k
angle_B$ $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq r < 2^n \\ 0 \leq s < 2^{\alpha n} \end{array}$ k=0



(Coherent) alpha-bit super-dense coding

- 1. Alice and Bob share n ebits
- 2. Alice applies an operation to her qubits
- 3. Alice sends her qubits to Bob as α -bits

 $2^{n}-1$ $\sum e^{rac{2\pi \, k \, r \, i}{2^n}} |k \oplus s \rangle_A |k
angle_B$ k=0 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq r < 2^n \\ 0 \leq s < 2^{\alpha n} \end{array}$

Zero-bits and ebits as fundamental resources

All noiseless quantum resources (qubits, α -bits, cobits...) can be rewritten in terms of zero-bits and ebits

e.g. 1
$$\alpha$$
-bit $\stackrel{(a)}{=} (1 + \alpha)$ zero-bits + α ebits

Zero-bits and ebits as fundamental resources

All noiseless quantum resources (qubits, α -bits, cobits...) can be rewritten in terms of zero-bits and ebits

e.g. 1
$$\alpha$$
-bit $\stackrel{(a)}{=} (1 + \alpha)$ zero-bits + α ebits

When rewritten in this basis, the quantum resource ordering becomes the product ordering:

$$(a,b) \ge (a',b') \iff (a \ge a') \land (b \ge b')$$

Alpha-bit Capacities

The α -bit capacity of a channel $\mathcal{N} : S(A') \to S(B)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) = \sup_{k} \frac{1}{k} \sup_{|\psi\rangle \in A'^{k} A^{k}} \min\left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha}I(A:B)_{\rho}, \frac{1}{\alpha}I(A\rangle B)_{\rho}\right)$$

where $\rho = (\mathcal{N}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathrm{Id})\psi$

Alpha-bit Capacities

The α -bit capacity of a channel $\mathcal{N} : S(A') \to S(B)$ is given by



Amortised and entanglement-assisted capacities

With entanglement-assistance or an amortised quantum side channel, the capacity is given by

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \sup_{|\psi\rangle \in A'A} I(A:B)_{\rho}$$

Unconstrained by ebits and so only zero-bits matter. This explains why all entanglement-assisted capacities are proportional to mutual information.

Amortised and entanglement-assisted capacities

With entanglement-assistance or an amortised quantum side channel, the capacity is given by

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \sup_{|\psi\rangle \in A'A} I(A:B)_{\rho}$$

Single letter!

Unconstrained by ebits and so only zero-bits matter. This explains why all entanglement-assisted capacities are proportional to mutual information.

If $\alpha \to 1$ amortised α -bit capacity $\rightarrow \begin{array}{c} entanglement - assisted \\ quantum capacity \\ BUT amortised quantum capacity = quantum capacity \\ \end{array}$

Amortised and entanglement-assisted capacities

With entanglement-assistance or an amortised quantum side channel, the capacity is given by

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \sup_{|\psi\rangle \in A'A} I(A:B)_{\rho}$$

Unconstrained by ebits and so only zero-bits matter. This explains why all entanglement-assisted capacities are proportional to mutual information.

If $\alpha \to 1$ amortised α -bit capacity $\rightarrow \begin{array}{c} entanglement - assisted \\ quantum capacity \\ BUT amortised quantum capacity = quantum capacity \\ Answer: As <math>\alpha \to 1$, the size of the side channel diverges

Further Applications

 $\langle \mathcal{N}_{A' \to B} \rangle \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} I(A \rangle B)$ qubits

Further Applications Non-additivity of quantum capacity? $\langle \mathcal{N}_{A' \to B} \rangle \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} I(A \rangle B)$ qubits +I(A; E) zero-bits

Further Applications Non-additivity of quantum capacity? $\langle \mathcal{N}_{A' \to B} \rangle \stackrel{(a)}{\geq} I(A \rangle B)$ qubits +I(A; E) zero-bits

Zero-bits can substitute for classical bits in: entanglement distillation, state merging remote state preparation and channel simulation

Optimality follows from optimality of zero-bit teleportation

Alpha-bits and Black Holes

Alpha-bits arise naturally when studying black holes in AdS/CFT

Boundary subregion may encode α -bits of a bulk region



Alpha-bits and Black Holes

Alpha-bits arise naturally when studying black holes in AdS/CFT

Tensor network toy model of AdS/CFT. Alpha-bits of region between orange lines encoded in A

Boundary subregion may encode α -bits of a bulk region



Alpha-bits and Black Holes

Alpha-bits arise naturally when studying black holes in AdS/CFT

Tensor network toy model of AdS/CFT. Alpha-bits of region between orange lines encoded in A

Boundary subregion may encode α -bits of a bulk region



Implications: Error-correction is only approximate, reconstructed operators are state-dependent

Thank you